

Mr, Roberto Pascal #80-B-1082
Otisville Correctional Facility
P.O.Box 8
Otisville, New York 10963

November 3, 2016

Mujahid Farid
Correctional Association of New York
2090 Adam Clayton Powell Jr. Blvd. #200
New York, N.Y. 10027

I am writing the following comments on formerly incarcerated people, since I have appeared before the parole board (7) times and each time I have been given two years, each time given the same boiler plate decision.

1) decision recognizing that young people may not be as culpable blameworthy as adults are for the crimes that they commit. The court held that where the parole board had denied parole without considering the significance of the crime, and been denied his right to a meaningful opportunity for release when the Board failed to consider the significance of youth and its attendant circumstances at the time of the commission of the crime.

2)When a parole board ordered a new hearing before a different panel of parole board members, the court found that the board had focused almost exclusively on the crime, while failing to take into account other relevant statutory factors like the Compas Assessment was favorable, showing low risk for violence, rearrest, absconding or criminal involvement. Not finding a reasonable probability that the inmate not live and remain at liberty without again violating the law and that his release would be incompatible with the welfare of society and the crime as to undermine respect for the law. Executive law (259-i(2)(c)(a) and instead focused solely on the seriousness of his crime, and why the board believed that based on his crime petitioner's release after 25 or 30 years would endanger the public welfare or undermine respect for the law. The court must find the court in contempt for having violated the court order. A violated a lawful order should result in the inmate's release by the court, since the parole board doesn't want to follow the court decision and order all commissioners to follow the court order and stop re-sentencing inmates to a longer term than the sentence imposed by the judge. Thank you for your time and consideration of this letter.

Sincerely,

Roberto Pascal